

2000]53B20

The Geometry of the Skew-Symmetric Curvature Operator in the Complex Setting

Peter Gilkey and Raina Ivanova

This paper is dedicated to Alfred Gray

ABSTRACT. We shall construct almost complex algebraic curvature tensors for pseudo Hermitian inner products whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant Jordan normal form on the set of non-degenerate complex lines.

Let (M, g) be a connected pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) and dimension $m = p + q$. We are interested in local theory so we take M to be a small ball around a point P of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and take g to be the germ of a metric defined near P . Let ${}^g\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection. The associated curvature operator and curvature tensor are then given by:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^gR(X, Y) &:= {}^g\nabla_X {}^g\nabla_Y - {}^g\nabla_Y {}^g\nabla_X - {}^g\nabla_{[X, Y]}, \text{ and} \\ {}^gR(X, Y, Z, W) &:= g({}^gR(X, Y)Z, W). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & {}^gR(X, Y, Z, W) = -{}^gR(Y, X, Z, W), \\ (3) \quad & {}^gR(X, Y, Z, W) = {}^gR(Z, W, X, Y), \text{ and} \\ (4) \quad & {}^gR(X, Y, Z, W) + {}^gR(Y, Z, X, W) + {}^gR(Z, X, Y, W) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to work in a purely algebraic setting. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a symmetric bilinear form of signature (p, q) on a finite dimensional real vector space V . A 4 tensor $R \in \otimes^4 V$ is said to be an *algebraic curvature tensor* if R satisfies the symmetries given in equations (2)-(4). A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be a *geometrical realization* of an algebraic curvature tensor R at a point P of M if there exists an isometry Ψ from the tangent space $T_P M$ to M at P to the vector space V so that $\Psi^* R = {}^gR_P$ - i.e. we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi X, \Psi Y) &= (X, Y) \text{ for all } X, Y \in T_P M \text{ and} \\ R(\Psi X, \Psi Y, \Psi Z, \Psi W) &= {}^gR(X, Y, Z, W) \text{ for all } X, Y, Z, W \in T_P M. \end{aligned}$$

Since every algebraic curvature tensor is geometrically realizable by the germ of a pseudo-Riemannian metric, algebraic curvature tensors have a central role in differential geometry. To distinguish between the geometric and the algebraic contexts, we shall use capital letters X, Y, Z, W for tangent vectors and lower case letters x, y, z, w for elements of the vector space V .

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* [.

Key words and phrases. Skew-symmetric curvature operator, algebraic curvature tensor, almost complex curvature tensor, IP tensor, pseudo-Hermitian structure, Gray class $\mathcal{L}_3$.

If $\{x, y\}$ is an oriented basis for a non-degenerate 2 plane π , we define the *skew-symmetric curvature operator*:

$$R(\pi) := |(x, x)(y, y) - (x, y)^2|^{-1/2} R(x, y).$$

Let J be a pseudo-Hermitian almost complex structure on V , i.e. J is an isometry with $J^2 = -\text{Id}$. We use J to give a complex structure to V by defining $\sqrt{-1}x := Jx$. A 2 plane π is said to be a *complex line* if $J\pi = \pi$. An algebraic curvature tensor R is said to be *almost complex* if $JR(\pi) = R(\pi)J$ for every non-degenerate complex line π ; this means that $R(\pi)$ is complex linear.

THEOREM 1. *An algebraic curvature tensor R is almost complex if and only if $J^*R = R$ i.e. $R(x, y, z, w) = R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw)$ for all (x, y, z, w) in V .*

The algebraic curvature tensors satisfying the symmetry $J^*R = R$ form the class $\mathcal{L}_3$ in the classification of Gray [10]; we also refer Falcitelli, Farolina, and Salamon [1] for related work.

Since the curvature tensor encodes much of the geometry of the manifold, it can be a very complicated object to study. There are natural operators associated to R which are useful to be examined. One wants to know what are the geometric consequences if the eigenvalues (or more generally the Jordan normal form) of such an operator are constant on the natural domain of definition. We refer to the survey articles [3, 5] for a more comprehensive introduction to the subject than we can present here. In this paper, we shall study the geometry of the skew-symmetric curvature operator for an almost complex algebraic curvature tensor.

Let ϕ be a linear transformation of V . We use the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to define the adjoint map ϕ^* by the identity $(\phi v, w) = (v, \phi^* w)$ for all v and w . We say that ϕ is *self-adjoint* if $\phi = \phi^*$ and that ϕ is *skew-adjoint* if $\phi = -\phi^*$. We shall need two different families of algebraic curvature tensors. If ϕ is self-adjoint, then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\phi(x, y)z &:= (\phi(y), z)\phi(x) - (\phi(x), z)\phi(y) \text{ and} \\ (5) \quad R_\phi(x, y, z, w) &:= (\phi(y), z)(\phi(x), w) - (\phi(x), z)(\phi(y), w). \end{aligned}$$

If ϕ is skew-adjoint, then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\phi(x, y)z &:= (\phi y, z)\phi x - (\phi x, z)\phi y - 2(\phi x, y)\phi z \text{ and} \\ (6) \quad R_\phi(x, y, z, w) &:= (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w) - (\phi x, z)(\phi y, w) - 2(\phi x, y)(\phi z, w). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{R}^{(p, q)}$ be Euclidean space with the standard metric of signature (p, q) . Let S be the shape operator of a non-degenerate hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{(p, q)}$. Then ${}^g R = \pm R_S$. Thus the tensor of equation (5) arises in the study of hypersurfaces in flat space. For example the algebraic curvature tensor $\pm R_{\text{Id}}$ has constant sectional curvature ± 1 and can be realized geometrically by the pseudo-spheres $S^\pm := \{P : (P, P) = \pm 1\}$.

In the Riemannian setting, $R_{\text{Id}} + R_J$ is the algebraic curvature tensor of the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}^n$. The following Theorem gives some of the algebraic properties of the curvature tensors R_ϕ which we shall need.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that $\phi^* = \varepsilon \phi$ and that $J\phi = \varrho \phi J$, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\varrho = \pm 1$. Then R_ϕ is an almost complex algebraic curvature tensor.*

Gray [10] (Corollary 3.2) showed that if an algebraic curvature tensor is geometrically realizable by a holomorphic Hermitian manifold (i.e. by a manifold with

an integrable almost complex structure), then R satisfies the additional symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R(x, y, z, w) + R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw) \\
 &= R(Jx, Jy, z, w) + R(Jx, y, Jz, w) + R(Jx, y, z, Jw) \\
 (7) \quad &+ R(x, Jy, Jz, w) + R(x, Jy, z, Jw) + R(x, y, Jz, Jw).
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. *If $J\phi = \phi J$ and if $\phi^* = \pm\phi$, then R_ϕ satisfies equation (7).*

Remark: If $\text{rank}(\phi) > 2$, if $J\phi = -\phi J$ and if $\phi^* = \pm\phi$, then R_ϕ does not satisfy equation (7); we omit details in the interests of brevity.

Two linear maps T_1 and T_2 of V are said to be *Jordan equivalent* if there exists an invertible linear map ψ so that $T_1 = \psi T_2 \psi^{-1}$ or equivalently, if there exists bases for V so that the matrix representations of T_1 and T_2 with respect to these two bases are equal. This means that the Jordan normal forms of T_1 and T_2 are equal. Two such maps necessarily have the same eigenvalues but two maps with the same eigenvalues need not be Jordan equivalent. In the positive definite setting ($p = 0$), the Jordan normal form of a symmetric or of a skew-symmetric map is determined by the eigenvalue structure. As the eigenvalue structure does not determine the Jordan normal form in the higher signature setting ($p > 0$), we work with the Jordan normal form rather than with the eigenvalues.

An algebraic curvature tensor R is said to be *Jordan IP* if the Jordan normal form of the skew-symmetric curvature operator is constant on the Grassmannian of oriented spacelike 2 planes, is constant on the Grassmannian of oriented timelike 2 planes, and is constant on the Grassmannian of oriented mixed 2 planes; the Jordan normal form is allowed to vary with the type of the 2 plane. One can show that if R is Jordan IP, then the rank r is independent of the type of the plane. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be *Jordan IP* if gR is Jordan IP at every point P of M ; the Jordan normal forms are allowed to vary with P .

Suppose that $p = 0$, i.e. that we are in the Riemannian setting. Ivanova and Stanilov [15] began the study of the geometry of the skew-symmetric curvature operator with constant eigenvalues (see also related work by Ivanova [12, 13, 14]). Later Ivanov and Petrova [11] classified the Riemannian Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensors and metrics in case $m = 4$; for this reason the notation “IP” has been used by some authors. Subsequently, the Riemannian Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensors and metrics were classified in dimensions $m = 5$, $m = 6$, and $m \geq 9$ by Gilkey, Leahy, and Sadofsky [6] and in dimension $m = 8$ by Gilkey [2]; there are some partial results due to Gilkey and Semmelman [7] if $m = 7$ but the classification is incomplete in this dimension.

The first step in the study of Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensors is to control the structure of the eigenspaces. The following theorem was proved using topological methods [6, 17]. It shows that the rank is 2 in many cases. The case $m = 4$ is exceptional; there are Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensors of rank 4 in signatures $(4, 0)$, $(2, 2)$, and $(0, 4)$ [11, 17].

THEOREM 4 (Gilkey, Leahy, and Sadofsky; Zhang). *Let R be a Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor of rank $r > 0$ for a metric of signature (p, q) .*

1. *Let $p \leq 1$. Let $q = 5$, $q = 6$, or $q \geq 9$. Then $r = 2$.*
2. *Let $p = 2$. Let $q \geq 10$. Let neither q nor $q + 2$ be powers of 2. Then $r = 2$.*

Theorem 4 focuses attention on the Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensors of rank 2. The following classification theorem follows from work of Gilkey and Zhang [8] extending previous work of Gilkey, Leahy, and Sadofsky [6] from the Riemannian setting to arbitrary signature:

THEOREM 5 (Gilkey-Zhang). *Let V have signature (p, q) , where $q \geq 5$. Let R be an algebraic curvature tensor on V . Then R is a rank 2 Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor if and only if there exists a non-zero constant C so that $R = CR_\phi$ where ϕ is self-adjoint and $\phi^2 = \pm \text{Id}$.*

There is a corresponding classification of rank 2 Jordan IP pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. We refer to Gilkey and Zhang [9] for the proof of the following result:

THEOREM 6 (Gilkey-Zhang). *Let (M, g) be a rank 2 Jordan IP pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) for $q \geq 5$. Then either (M, g) has constant sectional curvature or (M, g) is a warped product of an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with a pseudo-Riemannian manifold N of constant sectional curvature where*

$$ds_M^2 = \varepsilon dt^2 + (\varepsilon K t^2 + At + B) ds_N^2 \text{ and } \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

Let J be a pseudo-Hermitian almost complex structure on V . Let R be an almost complex algebraic curvature tensor. If the Jordan normal form of $R(\cdot)$ is constant on the Grassmanian of non-degenerate complex lines, then R is said to be *almost complex Jordan IP*.

If $p = 0$, then the complex linear map $JR(\pi)$ is self-adjoint and thus is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. We refer to [4] for the proof of the following result which controls the eigenvalue structure; the proof is topological in nature.

THEOREM 7 (Gilkey). *Let V have signature $(0, q)$. Let R be an almost complex Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor on V . Let $\{\lambda_s, \mu_s\}$ be the eigenvalues and multiplicities of JR , where we order the multiplicities μ_s so that $\mu_0 \geq \dots \geq \mu_\ell$. Suppose that $\ell \geq 1$. If $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $\ell = 1$ and $\mu_1 = 1$. If $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then either $\ell = 1$ and $\mu_1 \leq 2$ or $\ell = 2$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$.*

There are no general classification results for almost complex IP algebraic curvature tensors and metrics. Kath [16] has obtained some partial results if $(p, q) = (0, 4)$ or $(p, q) = (2, 2)$. Theorem 8 provides examples of almost complex Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensors. We adopt the following notational conventions. A linear transformation ϕ of V is said to be *admissible* if

1. We have $\phi = \phi^*$ and $\phi J = \pm J\phi$ or $\phi = -\phi^*$ and $J\phi = -\phi J$.
2. We have $\phi^2 = \text{Id}$, $\phi^2 = -\text{Id}$, or $\phi^2 = 0$ and $\ker \phi = \text{range } \phi$.

A pair $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ of linear transformations of V is said to be *admissible* if

1. Both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are admissible.
2. We have $\phi_1 J = J\phi_1$, $\phi_2 J = -J\phi_2$, and $\phi_1^* \phi_2 + \phi_2^* \phi_1 = 0$.
3. If $\phi_1^2 = \phi_2^2 = 0$ and if π is any non-degenerate complex line, then we have that $\phi_1 \pi \cap \phi_2 \pi = \{0\}$.

THEOREM 8. *Let ϕ , ϕ_1 , and ϕ_2 be linear transformations of V , and let c, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 be arbitrary constants.*

1. *If ϕ is admissible, then cR_ϕ is an almost complex Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor.*
2. *If $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is admissible, then $c_1 R_{\phi_1} + c_2 R_{\phi_2}$ is an almost complex Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor.*

3. The tensor $R := c_0 R_{\text{Id}} + c_1 R_J$ is an almost complex Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor.
4. Let $\{i, j, k\}$ be a skew-adjoint quaternion structure on V . Then the tensor $c_0 R_{\text{Id}} + c_1 R_i + c_2 R_j + c_3 R_k$ is an almost complex Jordan IP algebraic curvature tensor relative to the almost complex Hermitian structure given by $J = i$.

Let J be the usual complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^{(0,2s)} = \mathbb{C}^s$. Let $\{i, j, k\}$ be the usual skew-symmetric quaternion structure on $\mathbb{R}^{(0,4s)} = \mathbb{C}^{2s}$. We can use Theorem 8 to construct examples realizing all the possibilities of Theorem 7.

THEOREM 9. *Let λ_s be distinct real numbers.*

1. Let $R = c_0 R_{\text{Id}} + c_1 R_J$. We can choose c_0 and c_1 so $JR(\cdot)$ has eigenvalues λ_0 and λ_1 with multiplicities $\mu_0 = s - 1$ and $\mu_1 = 1$ on $\mathbb{C}^s$.
2. Let $R = c_0 R_{\text{Id}} + c_1 R_i + c_2 R_j + c_3 R_k$. We can choose c_0, c_1, c_2 , and c_3 so
 - (a) $JR(\cdot)$ has eigenvalues λ_0 and λ_1 with multiplicities $\mu_0 = 2s - 2$ and $\mu_1 = 2$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2s}$ or
 - (b) $JR(\cdot)$ has eigenvalues λ_0, λ_1 , and λ_2 with multiplicities $\mu_0 = 2s - 2$, $\mu_1 = 1$, and $\mu_2 = 1$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2s}$.

The remainder of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let R be an algebraic curvature tensor. We follow an argument shown to us by Salamon. Note that $JR(\pi) = R(\pi)J$ for all non-degenerate complex lines if and only if $JR(x, Jx) = R(x, Jx)J$ for all x in V . We have the following series of equivalences and implications:

- a) $JR(x, Jx) = R(x, Jx)J \forall x$,
- $\Leftrightarrow$ b) $(JR(x, Jx)z, w) - (R(x, Jx)Jz, w) = 0 \forall x, z, w$,
- $\Leftrightarrow$ c) $R(x, Jx, z, Jw) + R(x, Jx, Jz, w) = 0 \forall x, z, w$.

We polarize c), which is a quadratic identity in x , to obtain a multilinear identity:

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{d) } R(y, Jx, z, Jw) + R(x, Jy, z, Jw) + R(y, Jx, Jz, w) + R(x, Jy, Jz, w) = 0$$

for all x, y, z, w . Replacing (x, w) by (Jx, Jw) and using symmetry (2) we obtain:

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{e) } -R(x, y, z, w) - R(Jx, Jy, z, w) + R(x, y, Jz, Jw) + R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw) = 0$$

for all x, y, z, w . Now symmetry (3) implies:

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{f) } -R(z, w, x, y) - R(z, w, Jx, Jy) + R(Jz, Jw, x, y) + R(Jz, Jw, Jx, Jy) = 0$$

for all x, y, z, w . Interchange $(x, y) \leftrightarrow (z, w)$ to see:

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{g) } -R(x, y, z, w) - R(x, y, Jz, Jw) + R(Jx, Jy, z, w) + R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw) = 0$$

for all x, y, z, w . We add e) and g) to derive the identity:

$$\Rightarrow \text{h) } -R(x, y, z, w) + R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, w$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{i) } J^*R = R.$$

Conversely, we replace (x, y) by (Jx, Jy) in h) and obtain:

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{j) } -R(Jx, Jy, z, w) + R(x, y, Jz, Jw) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, w.$$

Now adding h) and j), we derive e) which is equivalent to a). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\phi^* = \pm\phi$. To prove that R_ϕ is an algebraic curvature tensor, we must verify that equations (2), (3), and (4) are satisfied. Curvature

symmetry (2) is immediate from the defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\phi(x, y, z, w) &= (\phi(y), z)(\phi(x), w) - (\phi(x), z)(\phi(y), w) \text{ if } \phi = \phi^*, \text{ and} \\ R_\phi(x, y, z, w) &:= (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w) - (\phi x, z)(\phi y, w) - 2(\phi x, y)(\phi z, w) \text{ if } \phi = -\phi^*. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that R_ϕ satisfies equation (3) by showing that the pairs (x, y) and (z, w) play symmetric roles. Next, we verify that each of the terms comprising R_ϕ has this symmetry by computing:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w) &= (y, \phi z)(x, \phi w), \\ (\phi x, z)(\phi y, w) &= (x, \phi z)(y, \phi w), \text{ and} \\ (\phi x, y)(\phi z, w) &= (\phi z, w)(\phi x, y). \end{aligned}$$

If $\phi = \phi^*$, then we check that equation (4) is satisfied by computing:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\phi(x, y, z, w) + R_\phi(y, z, x, w) + R_\phi(z, x, y, w) \\ = (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w) - (\phi x, z)(\phi y, w) \\ + (\phi z, x)(\phi y, w) - (\phi y, x)(\phi z, w) \\ + (\phi x, y)(\phi z, w) - (\phi z, y)(\phi x, w) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\phi^* = -\phi$, then similarly we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\phi(x, y)z + R_\phi(y, z)x + R_\phi(z, x)y \\ = (\phi y, z)\phi x - (\phi x, z)\phi y - 2(\phi x, y)\phi z \\ + (\phi z, x)\phi y - (\phi y, x)\phi z - 2(\phi y, z)\phi x \\ + (\phi x, y)\phi z - (\phi z, y)\phi x - 2(\phi z, x)\phi y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi^* = \pm\phi$ and let $\phi J = \pm J\phi$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi Jy, Jz)(\phi Jx, Jw) &= (J\phi y, Jz)(J\phi x, Jw) = (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w), \\ (\phi Jx, Jz)(\phi Jy, Jw) &= (J\phi x, Jz)(J\phi y, Jw) = (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w), \\ (\phi Jx, Jy)(\phi Jz, Jw) &= (J\phi x, Jy)(J\phi z, Jw) = (\phi x, y)(\phi z, w). \end{aligned}$$

Since R_ϕ is a linear combination of these terms, we combine these three identities to obtain $R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw) = R(x, y, z, w)$. We use Theorem 1 to see that R is almost complex. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\phi^* = \pm\phi$ and suppose $J\phi = \phi J$. By Theorem 2, R_ϕ is almost complex. Thus by Theorem 1 we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y, z, w) &= R(Jx, Jy, Jz, Jw), \quad R(Jx, Jy, z, w) = R(x, y, Jz, Jw), \\ (8) \quad R(Jx, y, Jz, w) &= R(x, Jy, z, Jw), \quad R(Jx, y, z, Jw) = R(x, Jy, Jz, w). \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 3, it suffices to show that equation (7) holds. Using the relations of display (8), we represent equation (7) in the form:

$$(9) \quad R(x, y, z, w) = R(Jx, Jy, z, w) + R(Jx, y, Jz, w) + R(Jx, y, z, Jw).$$

Equation (9) is an additional symmetry. We complete the proof by showing that it is satisfied by each of the terms comprising R_ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi Jy, z)(\phi Jx, w) + (\phi y, Jz)(\phi Jx, w) + (\phi y, z)(\phi Jx, Jw) &= (\phi y, z)(\phi x, w), \\ (\phi Jx, Jz)(\phi y, w) + (\phi Jx, z)(\phi Jy, w) + (\phi Jx, z)(\phi y, Jw) &= (\phi x, z)(\phi y, w), \\ (\phi Jx, Jy)(\phi z, w) + (\phi Jx, y)(\phi Jz, w) + (\phi Jx, y)(\phi z, Jw) &= (\phi x, y)(\phi z, w). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 8 (1). Let ϕ be admissible. As $\phi^* = \pm\phi$ and $J\phi = \pm\phi J$, R_ϕ is an almost complex algebraic curvature tensor (see Theorem 2). If $\phi^* = -\phi$, then we also assume that $J\phi = -\phi J$. Thus

$$(\phi x, Jx) = (-J\phi x, x) = (\phi Jx, x) = -(Jx, \phi x) = 0$$

so the third term in equation (6) vanishes and we have:

$$(10) \quad R_\phi(x, Jx)z = (\phi Jx, z)\phi x - (\phi x, z)\phi Jx$$

for both self-adjoint and skew-adjoint ϕ .

Let $\pi := \text{span}\{x, Jx\}$ be a non-degenerate complex line where x is either a unit spacelike or a unit timelike vector. Then π is either spacelike or timelike, π is not mixed. Because $\phi J = \pm J\phi$, the set

$$\phi\pi = \text{span}\{\phi x, \phi Jx\} = \text{span}\{\phi x, J\phi x\}$$

is a complex subspace of V . To complete the proof, we must show the the Jordan normal form of $R_\phi(\pi)$ is independent of π . We distinguish two cases.

First, suppose that $\phi^2 = \varepsilon \text{Id}$ where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\phi^* = \varrho\phi$ where $\varrho = \pm 1$. Then $(\phi x, \phi y) = (\phi^* \phi x, y) = \varepsilon \varrho (x, y) = \pm (x, y)$ so either ϕ is an isometry or ϕ is a para isometry. Thus $\phi\pi$ is either spacelike or timelike since π is either spacelike or timelike. We use equation (10) to see that in this case $R_\phi(\pi)$ is a 90 degree rotation in the plane $\phi\pi$ and $R_\phi(\pi)$ vanishes on $(\phi\pi)^\perp$. This implies that the Jordan normal form of $R_\phi(\pi)$ is independent of π .

Second, suppose that $\phi^2 = 0$. We then have

$$(\phi x, \phi y) = (\phi^* \phi x, y) = \pm (\phi^2 x, y) = 0 \text{ for any } x, y$$

so the range of ϕ is totally isotropic. Since $\ker \phi = \text{range } \phi$, the kernel of ϕ contains neither spacelike nor timelike vectors. Because π is either spacelike or timelike this means that $\ker \phi \cap \pi = \{0\}$. Consequently, ϕ is an isomorphism from π to $\phi\pi$. Thus $\dim \phi\pi = 2$ and $\phi\pi = \text{span}\{\phi x, J\phi x\} \subset \text{range } \phi$ is a totally isotropic complex line. We use equation (10) to prove that in this case $R_\phi(\pi)^2 = 0$ and $\text{rank}(R_\phi(\pi)) = 2$. This implies that the Jordan normal form of $R_\phi(\pi)$ is independent of π . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8 (2). Let $R := c_1 R_{\phi_1} + c_2 R_{\phi_2}$, where $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is an admissible pair. By assertion (1), R_{ϕ_1} and R_{ϕ_2} are almost complex algebraic curvature tensors and hence R is an almost complex algebraic curvature tensor.

Let $\pi := \text{span}\{x, Jx\}$ be a non-degenerate complex line where x is either a unit spacelike or a unit timelike vector. We show that $\{\phi_1 x, \phi_1 Jx, \phi_2 x, \phi_2 Jx\}$ is an orthogonal set by computing:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_1 x, \phi_1 Jx) &= (\phi_1 x, J\phi_1 x) = -(J\phi_1 x, \phi_1 x) = 0, \\ (\phi_1 x, \phi_2 x) &= (\phi_2^* \phi_1 x, x) = -(\phi_1^* \phi_2 x, x) = -(\phi_2 x, \phi_1 x) = 0, \\ (\phi_1 x, \phi_2 Jx) &= -(J\phi_2^* \phi_1 x, x) = (\phi_2^* \phi_1 Jx, x) = -(\phi_1^* \phi_2 Jx, x) \\ &= -(\phi_2 Jx, \phi_1 x) = 0, \\ (\phi_1 Jx, \phi_2 x) &= (\phi_2^* \phi_1 Jx, x) = -(J\phi_2^* \phi_1 x, x) = (\phi_2^* \phi_1 x, Jx) \\ &= -(\phi_1^* \phi_2 x, Jx) = -(\phi_2 x, \phi_1 Jx) = 0, \\ (\phi_1 Jx, \phi_2 Jx) &= (\phi_2^* \phi_1 Jx, Jx) = -(\phi_1^* \phi_2 Jx, Jx) = -(\phi_2 Jx, \phi_1 Jx) = 0, \\ (\phi_2 x, \phi_2 Jx) &= -(\phi_2 x, J\phi_2 x) = (J\phi_2 x, \phi_2 x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the two complex lines $\phi_1\pi$ and $\phi_2\pi$ are orthogonal complex lines. To complete the proof, we must show that the Jordan normal form of $R(\pi)$ is independent of π . We distinguish three cases:

First, suppose that $\phi_1^2 = \pm \text{Id}$ and that $\phi_2^2 = \pm \text{Id}$. In proving assertion (1), we showed that $R_{\phi_s}(\pi)$ is a 90 degree rotation in the plane $\phi_s\pi$ and $R_{\phi_s}(\pi)$ vanishes on $\phi_s\pi^\perp$ ($s = 1, 2$). Since $\phi_1\pi$ and $\phi_2\pi$ are orthogonal non-degenerate complex lines, the Jordan normal form of $R(\pi)$ is independent of π .

Second, suppose that $\phi_1^2 = \pm \text{Id}$ and that $\phi_2^2 = 0$ (the argument if $\phi_1^2 = 0$ and $\phi_2^2 = \pm \text{Id}$ is similar and is therefore omitted). In proving assertion (1), we showed that $R_{\phi_1}(\pi)$ is a 90 degree rotation in the plane $\phi_1\pi$, that $\phi_1\pi$ is a timelike or spacelike complex line, and that $R_{\phi_1}(\pi)$ vanishes on $\phi_1\pi^\perp$. We also showed that $\text{range } R_{\phi_2}(\pi) = \phi_2\pi$, that $\phi_2\pi$ is a totally isotropic complex line, and that $R_{\phi_2}^2 = 0$. Consequently, $\phi_1\pi \cap \phi_2\pi = \{0\}$ and the Jordan normal form of $R(\pi)$ is independent of π .

Third, suppose that $\phi_1^2 = \phi_2^2 = 0$. In proving assertion (1), we showed that $\text{range } R_{\phi_s}(\pi) = \phi_s\pi$, that $\phi_s\pi$ is a totally isotropic complex line, and that $R_{\phi_s}^2 = 0$ ($s = 1, 2$). In this case, we have assumed that $\phi_1\pi \cap \phi_2\pi = \{0\}$. It now follows that $\text{rank}(R_\phi(\pi)) = 4$ and that $R_\phi(\pi)^2 = 0$. These two properties determine the Jordan normal form of $R_\phi(\pi)$ and one sees easily that it does not depend on π . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8 (3,4). We consider the following two cases in parallel: either let $R := c_0R_{\text{Id}} + c_1R_J$ or let $R := c_0R_{\text{Id}} + c_1R_i + c_2R_j + c_3R_k$, where $\{i, j, k\}$ is a skew-adjoint quaternion structure and $J = i$. The first case is not always contained in the second one as not all almost complex structures can be extended to quaternion structures. However the proof follows the same lines.

The tensor R is an almost complex algebraic curvature tensor (see Theorem 2). Let $\pi := \text{span}\{x, ix\}$ be a non-degenerate complex line where x is a unit spacelike vector; the timelike case is similar. We shall complete the proof by showing that the Jordan normal form of $R(\pi)$ is independent of π . Since $\{i, j, k\}$ is a skew-symmetric quaternion structure, $\{x, ix, jx, kx\}$ is an orthonormal set. It follows from equations (5) and (6) that:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\pi)x &= -(c_0 + 3c_1)ix, \quad R(\pi)ix = (c_0 + 3c_1)x, \\ (11) \quad R(\pi)jx &= -(2c_1 - c_2 - c_3)kx, \quad R(\pi)kx = (2c_1 - c_2 - c_3)jx, \\ R(\pi)y &= -(2c_1)iy \text{ if } y \perp \text{span}\{x, ix, jx, kx\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $V_1 := \text{span}\{x, ix\}$, $V_2 := \text{span}\{jx, kx\}$, and $V_3 := (V_1 + V_2)^\perp$. We have an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$. These subspaces are preserved by the almost complex structure i and by $R(\pi)$. Let R_s be the restriction of $R(\pi)$ to V_s for $1 \leq s \leq 3$. It follows from equation (11) that $R_1 = -(c_0 + 3c_1)i$, $R_2 = -(2c_1 - c_2 - c_3)i$, and $R_3 = -(2c_1)i$. These are multiples of positive rotations through 90 degrees. If x is timelike, then the rotations are negative. In this way we obtain the Jordan normal form of $R(\pi)$ and verify it does not depend on π . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 9. Let $R = c_0R_0 + c_1R_i + c_2R_j + c_3R_k$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2s}$ and let $J = i$. In proving Theorem 8, we determined the Jordan normal form of $R(\pi)$. It now follows that $(c_0 + 3c_1)$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity 1, that $(2c_1 - c_2 - c_3)$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity 1, and that $2c_1$ is an eigenvalue of multiplicity $2s - 2$ for the self-adjoint operator $JR(\pi)$. We choose the constants c_s for $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$ so

that $\lambda_1 = c_0 + 3c_1$, $\lambda_2 = 2c_1 - c_2 - c_3$, and $\lambda_0 = 2c_1$ to complete the proof of the second assertion; the first follows similarly. $\square$

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure for the first author to acknowledge helpful conversations with L. Vanhecke and S. Salamon concerning curvature decompositions. He is also grateful to A. Gray who first introduced him to the subject of almost Hermitian pseudo-Riemannian geometry and curvature decompositions.

The research of the first author was supported in part by the NSF (USA) and the MPI (Leipzig, Germany). The research of the second author was supported in part by the JSPS Post Doctoral Fellowship Program (Japan) and the MPI (Leipzig, Germany).

References

- [1] M. Falcitelli, A. Farinola, and S. Salamon, Almost-Hermitian geometry, *Diff. Geo. and its Appl.*, **4**, (1994), 259–282.
- [2] P. Gilkey, Riemannian manifolds whose skew symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues II, *Differential geometry and applications (ed. Kolar, Kowalski, Krupka, and Slovak) Publ. Masaryk University Brno, Czech Republic ISBN 80-210-2097-0*, (1999), 73–87.
- [3] —, Geometric properties of the curvature operator, **Proceedings of Beijing Conference: vol X Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds**, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [4] —, Bundles over projective spaces and algebraic curvature tensors, *Journal of Geometry*, to appear.
- [5] P. Gilkey and R. Ivanova, Geometric consequences of some algebraic properties of the curvature tensor, *Bull. Mathematiques Soc. Math. Roumanie*, to appear.
- [6] P. Gilkey, J. V. Leahy, and H. Sadofsky, Riemannian manifolds whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues, *Indiana Journal*, **48**, (1999), 615–634.
- [7] P. Gilkey and U. Semmelman, Spinors, self-duality, and IP algebraic curvature tensors of rank 4, (*preprint*).
- [8] P. Gilkey and T. Zhang, Algebraic curvature tensors whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant rank 2, (*preprint*).
- [9] —, Rank 2 spacelike Ivanov Petrova manifolds in the pseudo-Riemannian setting, (*preprint*).
- [10] A. Gray, Curvature identities for Hermitian and almost Hermitian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **28** (1976), 601–612.
- [11] S. Ivanov and I. Petrova, Riemannian manifold in which the skew-symmetric curvature operator has pointwise constant eigenvalues, *Geometriae Dedicata*, **70**, (1998) 269–282.
- [12] R. Ivanova, About a classification of some Einstein manifolds, *Mathematics and Education in Mathematics, Proc. XXIII Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, Stara Zagora*, (1994), 175–182.
- [13] —, An orthogonal tangential group of transformations of a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold, *Mathematica Balkanica*, **11**, (1997), 53–63.
- [14] —, Point-wise constancy of the skew-symmetric curvature operator’s characteristical coefficients, *Tensor, N. S.*, **57, No. 1**, (1996), 97–104.
- [15] R. Ivanova and G. Stanilov, A skew-symmetric curvature operator in Riemannian geometry, *Symposia Gaussiana, Conf. A, eds. Behara, Fruttsch and Lintz*, (1995), 391–395.
- [16] I. Kath, Almost complex algebraic curvature tensors in dimension 4, (*preprint*).
- [17] T. Zhang, Manifolds with indefinite metrics whose skew-symmetric curvature operator has constant eigenvalues, *Ph. D. Thesis, University of Oregon*, (2000).

PG: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OR 97403 USA
E-mail address: gilkey@darkwing.uoregon.edu

RI: DEPT. OF DESCRIPTIVE GEOMETRY, UNIVERSITY OF ARCHITECTURE, CIVIL ENGINEERING
 & GEODESY, 1, CHRISTO SMIRNENSKI BLVD., 1421 SOFIA, BULGARIA
E-mail address: ivanovar@is.tsukuba.ac.jp and ivanovar@hopf.uoregon.edu